

# The Constitution of the Environmental Emergency

Jocelyn Stacey



• H A R T •

OXFORD • LONDON • NEW YORK • NEW DELHI • SYDNEY

# *Table of Contents*

<i>Acknowledgements .....</i>	v
<i>List of Acronyms.....</i>	xi
<i>Table of Legislation and Cases .....</i>	xiii
<b>Introduction .....</b>	1
I. Methodology, Terminology and Context .....	5
II. Outline of the Book.....	9
<b>Part I: The Environmental Emergency</b>	
<b>1. The Concept of the Environmental Emergency .....</b>	15
I. The Environmental Emergency .....	17
A. Schmittian Emergencies.....	17
B. The Environmental Emergency.....	20
II. Failing Schmitt's Challenge .....	26
A. The Extra-Legal Approach to Emergency Powers.....	27
B. The Accommodation Approach to Emergency Powers .....	29
III. The Formal Conception of the Rule of Law .....	34
IV. Conclusion .....	38
<b>2. Environmental Reform: The Problem of Discretion in Environmental Law .....</b>	39
I. The Environmental Reform Position .....	41
II. Black and Grey Holes in Canadian Environmental Law .....	45
A. Legal Black Holes: Environmental Regulations .....	47
B. Legal Grey Holes: Ineffective Substantive Constraints.....	52
III. Impoverished Environmental Reform Solutions.....	54
A. Environmental Rules.....	55
B. Independent Expert Decision-Makers.....	56
IV. Conclusion .....	60
<b>3. Environmental Governance: The Problem of Law in Environmental Law .....</b>	62
I. Old and New Governance .....	65
A. The Environmental Emergency and Legal Formalism.....	65
B. The Law/Governance Distinction .....	69
II. Three Examples of Environmental Governance .....	70
A. Results-Based Regulation .....	71

B.	Ecosystem-Based Management.....	75
C.	Transnational Certification.....	79
D.	Conclusion .....	84
III.	Reclaiming the Rule of Law .....	85
IV.	Conclusion .....	88
<b>Part II: Responding to the Environmental Emergency</b>		
4.	<b>The Requirement of Public Justification.....</b>	93
I.	Responding to Schmitt's Challenge .....	95
A.	Public Justification in Canadian Administrative Law.....	96
B.	Public Justification During Emergencies .....	100
II.	Public Justification: A Democratic Conception of the Rule of Law.....	104
III.	Conclusion .....	111
5.	<b>Institutional Design: Reforming Forest Practices.....</b>	113
I.	The Institutional Dimensions of Public Justification .....	114
II.	The Forest Practices Board and the Mountain Pine Beetle Response .....	116
A.	The Limitations of Adjudication .....	117
B.	Ensuring a Publicly Justified Emergency Response .....	121
III.	The Forest Practices Board and Its Governance Response .....	125
A.	The Role of the Forest Practices Board Under the FRPA ....	125
B.	Democratic Experimentalism .....	129
C.	Ensuring Public Justification of Forest Stewardship Plans .....	131
i.	Constitutive Law .....	132
ii.	Substantive Law .....	133
iii.	Transitive Law.....	135
IV.	Conclusion .....	136
6.	<b>Pipelines and Principles: Reasonableness and Fairness in Environmental Law .....</b>	137
I.	The Pipelines, the NEB and Their Problems .....	138
A.	Fairness .....	142
B.	Reasonableness .....	144
C.	Independence .....	146
II.	In Defence of Environmental Principles .....	149
A.	Sustainable Development .....	151
B.	The Precautionary Principle .....	157
C.	Environmental Principles and the Common Law.....	165
III.	Publicly Justifying the Pipelines .....	167
A.	Fairness .....	169
i.	Written Hearing.....	169
ii.	Restricted Public Participation.....	172

B.	Reasonableness .....	175
	i. Scope of Assessment .....	175
	ii. Disaster Planning.....	177
C.	Independence .....	179
	i. Regulatory Capture .....	179
	ii. Independence from Government.....	181
IV.	Conclusion .....	182
7.	<b>Reasoning Adequately: Wind Turbine Risks and Benefits .....</b>	184
	I. The Confluence of Environmental Factors in Wind	
	Turbine Development .....	185
	II. The Method and Purpose of Reasonableness.....	188
	III. Reasoning Adequately about Wind Turbine Approvals .....	192
	A. Plain Meaning and the Precautionary Principle .....	193
	B. Precautionary Reasoning.....	195
	C. Reasoning Adequately and Earning Deference .....	202
	IV. Conclusion .....	205
8.	<b>The Rule of Law and the Right to a Healthy Environment.....</b>	207
	I. The Case for a Charter Right to a Healthy Environment.....	210
	A. The Claims.....	211
	B. The Dichotomies .....	214
	i. The Process/Substance Dichotomy and	
	Environmental Rights Adjudication.....	215
	ii. The Positive/Negative Rights Dichotomy in	
	Environmental Rights Enforcement .....	218
	II. Environmental Protection and Section 7 Adjudication.....	221
	A. Section 7 of the Charter .....	222
	B. The Process/Substance Dichotomy in Section 7	
	Jurisprudence .....	223
	C. The Positive/Negative Rights Dichotomy in Section 7	
	Jurisprudence .....	226
	III. Common Law Constitutional Rights Adjudication.....	229
	A. Bright Lines and the Rule of Law .....	229
	B. The Right to Public Justification and the Right	
	to a Healthy Environment .....	235
	IV. Conclusion .....	240
	<i>Bibliography .....</i>	245
	<i>Index.....</i>	265