## **Diplomacy in Practice**

A Critical Approach

Johan Verbeke



## Contents

	List of boxes A manyaline lands and X	i
	List of tables xii	i
	List of abbreviations xiv	V
	Preface xvi	i
	QA Cangorint golden IV	
	Lit grif Arjothavola lang terriginal Singue Allestica v = 7.	3
		1
	I.1 The Socratic fallacy 1	
	I.2 The Practice Turn 2	
	I.3 Deflating diplomacy 3	
	I.4 Realist diplomacy 4	
	I.5 Interdisciplinary approach 5	
	I.6 Diplomacy as a craft 6	
	I.7 Summing up 7	
	18 What to expect 8	
	The Hard Hard Committee of the State of the	
4J	TI William a distinguish a maji a bagun hindinik kik -	
h	organisation of diplomacy	1
	5 Logical falls. And Logarities Haps	
	The organisation of diplomacy: The standard model	3
	1.1 A preliminary question: what is diplomacy for? 13	
	1.2 The Ministry of Foreign Affairs 13	
	1,2.1 Some advice for the Minister's Chief Adviser 16	
	1.2.2 Some advice for the Minister in choosing and working with his	
	or her Chief Adviser 17	
	1.3 The Embassy, Consulate, and Permanent Representation 19	
	1.4 What are diplomatic missions for? 28	
	THE STATE OF THE S	
	Ways and means of doing diplomacy	4
	2.1 The one old and the many new diplomacies – a preliminary	
	exploration 34	
	2.2 Bilateral and multilateral diplomacy 36	
	2.3 Track II diplomacy 40	
	2.4 Networked diplomacy 42	
	2.4 Weinorked diplomacy 42	

2.6 Public diplomacy 47 2.7 The 'new diplomacies' 52 2.7.1 New topics for diplomacy 52 2.7.2 New actors in diplomacy 54 2.7.3 The changing nature of diplomacy 55  PART II A diplomat's conceptual toolbox  3 Reasoning in diplomacy: An exploration 3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 71 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 72 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	61
2.7.1 New topics for diplomacy 52 2.7.2 New actors in diplomacy 54 2.7.3 The changing nature of diplomacy 55  PART II  A diplomat's conceptual toolbox  3 Reasoning in diplomacy: An exploration 3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
2.7.2 New actors in diplomacy 54 2.7.3 The changing nature of diplomacy 55  PART II  A diplomat's conceptual toolbox  3 Reasoning in diplomacy: An exploration 3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
PART II A diplomat's conceptual toolbox  Reasoning in diplomacy: An exploration 3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
PART II A diplomat's conceptual toolbox  3 Reasoning in diplomacy: An exploration 3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
A diplomat's conceptual toolbox  Reasoning in diplomacy: An exploration 3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.1 The practical syllogism 63 3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65 3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67 3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	63
<ul> <li>3.2 Finding and justifying decisions 65</li> <li>3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67</li> <li>3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67</li> <li>3.3.2 Muddling through? 69</li> <li>3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69</li> <li>3.4 The logic of justification 70</li> <li>3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71</li> <li>3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 72</li> <li>3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73</li> <li>3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75</li> <li>4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy</li> <li>4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80</li> <li>4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83</li> <li>4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84</li> <li>4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.3 A non-logic of discovery? 67</li> <li>3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67</li> <li>3.3.2 Muddling through? 69</li> <li>3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69</li> <li>3.4 The logic of justification 70</li> <li>3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71</li> <li>3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 72</li> <li>3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73</li> <li>3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75</li> <li>4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy</li> <li>4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80</li> <li>4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83</li> <li>4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84</li> <li>4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89</li> </ul>	
3.3.1 Reasoning by consequences 67 3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.3.2 Muddling through? 69 3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69  3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.3.3 A way out: distinguishing fast and slow thinking 69 3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
<ul> <li>3.4 The logic of justification 70 3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75</li> <li>4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89</li> </ul>	
3.4.1 Inductive reasoning 71 3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.4.2 Abductive reasoning 71 3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.4.3 Deductive reasoning 72 3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.4.4 Conditional reasoning 73 3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
3.4.5 Reasoning by analogy 75  4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
4 Interactive diplomacy: Game theory and rational empathy 4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80 4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83 4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84 4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
<ul> <li>4.1 The one-shot Prisoner's Dilemma 80</li> <li>4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83</li> <li>4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84</li> <li>4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4.2 The iterated Prisoner's Dilemma – tit for tat 83</li> <li>4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84</li> <li>4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89</li> </ul>	80
<ul> <li>4.3 Other 'diplomatic' games 84</li> <li>4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89</li> </ul>	
4.4 Rational empathy – entering into another's mind 89	
gurvanan re diplamacj	
	n all
5 Logical fallacies and cognitive traps	95
5.1 Logical and quasi-logical fallacies 97	
5.1.1 Logical fallacies 97	
5.1.2 Quasi-logical fallacies 99	
5.2 Cognitive traps 104	
6 Concepts and principles in diplomatic thinking	111
6.1 The nature of concepts and principles 111	
6.3 Concepts 113	
6.3.1 Political concepts 114	
6.3.2 Security concepts 116	
6.3.3 Diplomatic concepts 116	
6.4 Principles 119	
6.4.1 Legal principles 119	
6.4.2 Diplomatic principles 121	

viii Contents

7	The	he conflict cycle					
,	7.1	Security first 127					
	7.1	7.1.1 Hard and soft security 127					
		7.1.2 State security versus human security 128					
		7.1.2 State security versus numan security 128 7.1.3 Integrated approach to security 128					
	7.2	Pro conflict Conflict Properties 120					
	1.2	Pre-conflict – Conflict Prevention 129 7.2.1 Conflict analysis 129					
		7.2.1 Conflict analysis 129 7.2.2 Negotiation and mediation 129					
		7.2.3 Early warning 130 7.2.4 Preventive diplomacy 130					
		7.2.5 Incentives and disincentives 131					
		7.2.6 Deterrence 131					
		7.2.7 Last effort diplomacy 131					
		7.2.8 Pre-conflict diplomacy 132					
	7.3	From Pre-conflict Prevention to Post-conflict Management 132					
	7.4	Post-conflict – Conflict Management and Resolution 133					
		7.4.1 No relapse 133					
		7.4.2 Stability 133					
		7.4.3 State building 134					
		<ul><li>7.4.4 Reconciliation and transitional justice 134</li><li>7.4.5 Reconstruction and rehabilitation 135</li></ul>					
	7.5						
	7.5	Four approaches to peace – the UN vocabulary 136					
	7.6	Preventive Diplomacy – Some Critical Remarks 138					
	7.7	Conflict and Track II diplomacy 141					
0	**	202 Defining amount of the mount of the solution of the	1.15				
5		of force	145				
	8.1	Diplomacy and use of force 147					
	8.2	An evolving security landscape – a preliminary warning 149					
	8.3	Forms of use of force 153					
	8.4	Legality of use of force 154					
	8.5	Legitimacy of use of force 157					
	8.6	Legality and legitimacy - so, what? 160					
	8.7	Prudence in use of force 162					
		8.7.1 On judgment, wisdom, and prudence 162					
		8.7.2 On Wars of Necessity and Wars of Choice 163					
	8.8	The conduct of war 166					
		The end of war and its aftermath – just peace 169					
		Causes and reasons of war 173					
	0.10	8.10.1 Causes 174					
		8.10.2 Reasons 176					
	277	Two extremes – nuclear war and hybrid war. 176					
	10.22	9 11 1 No. 1 177					
		8.11.1 Nuclear war 177 8.11.1.1 Deterrence and balance of terror 177					
		8.11.1.2 No First Use (NFU) 179					
		8.11.1.3 Non-Proliferation 180					
		\$11.2 Hybrid war 182					
		8.11.2 Hybrid war 182 8.11.2.1 Blurring lines between war and peace 182					

PART III

Select bibliography

Index

Х	Cont	ents			
PA	RT IV				
			e framework of diplomacy	187	
			a minority of diplomacy	107	
9	9 Diplomacy and international law				
	9.1		and diplomacy – an ambiguous relation 189	189	
	9.2				
	9.2	9.2.1			
		9.2.1	Customary international law 192		
		9.2.2	Treaty law 194 Other sources 195		
		9.2.3	Other sources 195 Soft law 196		
			Control of the Contro		
		9.2.6	Why do states seek to establish international law? 197		
		9.2.7	What happens where a state has been also afficient in 11 a 100		
	9.3		What happens when a state breaks a rule of international law? 199		
	9.5		tate as central actor in international politics 203		
		9.3.1	Statehood 204		
		9.3.2 9.3.3	Recognition of states 207		
	0.4		Establishment of diplomatic relations 207		
	9.4		ral political principles of international law 208		
		9.4.1	First step: what are the principles? 208		
		9.4.2	Second step: how do the principles work? 211		
10.12.1	gress		The state of the s		
10			ghts and the Rule of Law	215	
	10.1	Some	preliminaries: basic concepts, paradoxes, and inconsistencies 215		
		10.1.1	The nature of human rights 216		
		10.1.2	The 'legitimacy' question 218		
		10.1.3	The state paradox 219		
		10.1.4	Confusing immunities with entitlements 220		
	10.2	Defen	nding human rights – three conceptual vulnerabilities 221		
		10.2.1	The universality of Human Rights 222		
		10.2.2	The proliferation of Human Rights 223		
		10.2.3	The banalisation of Human Rights discourse 224		
		10.2.4	How to respond? 225		
			10.2.4.1 Universality 225		
			10.2.4.2 Proliferation 226		
			10.2.4.3 Banalisation 226		
	10.3	Defen	ding human rights – a legal vulnerability 227		
			On learning to live with ambiguity 228		
		10.3.2	On ethical foreign policy 229		
	10.4	An ali	ternative – defending the Rule of Law 231		
			The minimalist model 233		
			The maximalist model 234		
	Con	clusio	n: Diplomacy as a way of life	238	
			0/1 5005571		

241

243