

Making Social Sciences More Scientific

The Need for Predictive Models

Rein Taagepera

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	xiii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xv

Part I. The Limitations of Descriptive Methodology

1. Why Social Sciences Are Not Scientific Enough	3
2. Can Social Science Approaches Find the Law of Gravitation?	14
3. How to Construct Predictive Models: Simplicity and Nonabsurdity	23
4. Example of Model Building: Electoral Volatility	34
5. Physicists Multiply, Social Scientists Add—Even When It Does Not Add Up	52
6. All Hypotheses Are Not Created Equal	71
7. Why Most Numbers Published in Social Sciences Are Dead on Arrival	82

Part II. Quantitatively Predictive Logical Models

8. Forbidden Areas and Anchor Points	95
9. Geometric Means and Lognormal Distributions	120
10. Example of Interlocking Models: Party Sizes and Cabinet Duration	130
11. Beyond Constraint-Based Models: Communication Channels and Growth Rates	139
12. Why We Should Shift to Symmetric Regression	154
13. All Indices Are Not Created Equal	176

Contents

Part III. Synthesis of Predictive and Descriptive Approaches

14. From Descriptive to Predictive Approaches	187
15. Recommendations for Better Regression	199
16. Converting from Descriptive Analysis to Predictive Models	215
17. Are Electoral Studies a Rosetta Stone for Parts of Social Sciences?	225
18. Beyond Regression: The Need for Predictive Models	236
<i>References</i>	241
<i>Index</i>	249