"Materiali e Strutture. Problemi di Conservazione" Referee model Title: Proof-reader name: Date of the evaluation: Scientific contents: - On the opinion of the proof-reader, is the topic of the paper completely new (it never was totally or partially already published)? Yes □ No If it has already been published in a different and partial version, indicate where: - Is the topic of the research adequate and interesting for the readers of the magazine? Yes □ No - Has the article original and/or relevant features? Yes □ No - Originality and relevance of the article are linked to: ☐ peculiarity or importance of the topics of the research ☐ methodology of investigation ☐ reached goals □ other (specify) - Quality of the essay to evaluate related to: - completeness of data □ good ☐ inadequate □ enough - efficacy of sinthesis and of illustration of data used in the research □ good □ enough ☐ inadequate - aggiornamento e adeguatezza dei riferimenti alla letteratura sul tema trattato □ good enough ☐ inadequate - inner cohesion and able development of argomentation (indipendently by their sharing) □ enough ☐ inadequate □ good - efficacy of interpretation and critic □ enough ☐ inadequate □ good - importance of new acquisition □ good □ enough ☐ inadequate Notes on the text related to: - right fluidity grammatical and syntactic correctness ☐ Yes □ No □ No - good efficacy of equipment (tables, annexes etc.) ☐ Yes - right lenght and inner organizzation of the essay □ No ☐ Yes - pertinance of the bibliographic – archivistic – instrumental references ☐ Yes □ No Notes on the immages related to: - pertinance of the images to the content of the text ☐ Yes □ No - efficacy of the illustration number ☐ Yes □ No - good quality of the illustration ☐ Yes □ No Giudizio conclusivo ☐ The paper can be published in the presented form ☐ The paper can be published with minimum changes, without more reviews ☐ The paper can be published with some changes that are clarified in the observations ☐ The paper can be published in a new version, with a new review by the referees

☐ The paper can't be published

Observation