

# Time Series Analysis

Prof. Lea Petrella

MEMOTEF Department  
Sapienza, University of Rome, Italy

Class 14

# GARCH models

- GARCH models were introduced by Bollerslev 1986 as extensions of the ARCH models to allow for a much more flexible lag structure.
- This flexibility is obtained by considering the variance of the error term as a function of both the previous time errors and variance of the process and thus, the  $GARCH(p, q)$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j \epsilon_{t-j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2.$$

- The process is weakly stationary if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j < 1,$$

- GARCH models have become a widespread tool in time series analysis, especially for their usefulness in analyzing and forecasting in the presence of heteroskedasticity.

- We compute the first four conditional and unconditional moments of a GARCH(1,1) process, its auto-correlation function.
- We consider the same structure defined before and so, for a time series  $r_t$ , a GARCH(1,1) model is defined as:

$$r_t = \epsilon_t$$

where

$$\epsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2)$$

and

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2. \quad (1)$$

- The process is stationary when  $\omega > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . To ensure that the conditional variance is positive we have to impose that  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ .

- The first conditional and unconditional moments are both null

$$\mathbb{E}(r_t) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(r_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1})) = 0$$

- the second unconditional moment is:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2 &= \mathbb{E}(r_t^2) = \text{Var}(\epsilon_t) = \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^2) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^2|\mathcal{F}_{t-1})) = \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\sigma_t^2) = \mathbb{E}(\omega + \alpha\epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta\sigma_{t-1}^2) = \omega + \alpha\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^2) + \beta\mathbb{E}(\sigma_{t-1}^2) = \\ &= \omega + \alpha\sigma^2 + \beta\sigma^2 \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{\omega}{1 - \alpha - \beta} \iff \alpha + \beta < 1.\end{aligned}$$

- The third unconditional moment of a stationary  $GARCH(1, 1)$  model is

$$\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^3) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^3 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})) = 0.$$

- The result derives from the result on the third moment of a Gaussian distribution.
- To evaluate the fourth unconditional moment we use the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^4 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) &= 3\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})^2 \\ &= 3\text{Var}(\epsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})^2 \\ &= 3(\sigma_t^2)^2 \\ &= 3\sigma_t^4.\end{aligned}$$

- The fourth unconditional moment of a stationary GARCH(1,1) model is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_4 &= \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^4) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^4 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})) = 3\mathbb{E}(\sigma_t^4) = 3\mathbb{E}(\omega + \alpha\epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta\sigma_{t-1}^2)^2 \\ &= 3\omega^2 + 3\alpha^2\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^4) + 3\beta^2\mathbb{E}(\sigma_{t-1}^4) + \\ &\quad + 6\omega\alpha\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^2) + 6\omega\beta\mathbb{E}(\sigma_{t-1}^2) + 6\alpha\beta\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^2\sigma_{t-1}^2)\end{aligned}$$

- Now, considering that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^2\sigma_{t-1}^2) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^2\sigma_{t-1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-2})) = \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\sigma_{t-1}^2\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{t-1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-2})) = \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\sigma_{t-1}^2\sigma_{t-1}^2) = \mathbb{E}(\sigma_{t-1}^4).\end{aligned}$$

- Since from the previous result we have that

$$\mathbb{E}(\sigma_t^4) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^4)}{3},$$

we get

$$\mu_4 = 3\omega^2 + 3\alpha^2\mu_4 + \beta^2\mu_4 + 6\omega\sigma^2 + 6\omega\beta\sigma^2 + 6\alpha\beta\mu_4/3.$$

- Then

$$\mu_4(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta) = 3\omega^2 + 6\omega\sigma^2(\alpha + \beta),$$

and

$$\mu_4 = \frac{3\omega^2(1 + \alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)}.$$

- we can calculate the kurtosis index as

$$\begin{aligned}
 Kurt &= \frac{\mu_4}{\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^2)} = \frac{3\omega^2(1 + \alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)} \frac{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2}{\omega^2} \\
 &= \frac{3(1 - \alpha - \beta)(1 + \alpha + \beta)}{(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)} > 3
 \end{aligned}$$

- It is possible to show that  $GARCH(1,1)$  model can be written as an  $ARMA(1,1)$  process

$$r_t^2 = \omega + (\alpha + \beta)r_{t-1}^2 - \beta v_{t-1} + v_t,$$

where  $v_t$  is a WN with

$$\mathbb{E}(v_t) = 0.$$

$$Var(v_t) = \frac{2(1 + \alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)}.$$

$$Cov(v_t, v_{t-h}) = 0.$$

- We now calculate the  $Cov(\epsilon_t^2, \epsilon_{t-h}^2)$  starting from the  $ARMA(1, 1)$  representation of a  $GARCH(1, 1)$  process.
- It is worth noting that the stationary condition of the  $GARCH$  process i.e.  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  coincide with the stationary condition of its  $ARMA(1,1)$  representation.
- Since the  $\gamma(h)$  for an  $ARMA(1, 1)$  process with autoregression parameter  $\phi$ , moving average parameter  $\theta$  and variance of the error terms  $\sigma^2$  is:

$$\gamma(h) = Cov(\epsilon_t^2, \epsilon_{t-h}^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + 2\phi\theta + \theta^2}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma^2 & h = 0 \\ \phi^{h-1} \frac{(\phi + \theta)(1 + \phi\theta)}{1 - \phi^2} \sigma^2 & h > 0. \end{cases}$$

- Considering that in our representation we have:

- $\phi = \alpha + \beta$ ;
- $\theta = -\beta$ ;
- $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(v_t)$ .

- After some algebra we can write that

$$\gamma(h) = \text{Cov}(\epsilon_t^2, \epsilon_{t-h}^2) = \frac{2\alpha\omega^2(1 - \alpha\beta - \beta^2)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)}(\alpha + \beta)^{h-1}.$$

- To compute the ACF we have to calculate the

$\text{Var}(\epsilon_t^2) = \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^4) - \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t^2)^2$ . From previous results we have

$$\text{Var}(\epsilon_t^2) = \frac{3\omega^2(1 + \alpha + \beta)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)} - \left( \frac{\omega}{1 - \alpha - \beta} \right)^2.$$

- Which after some algebra can be written as:

$$\text{Var}(\epsilon_t^2) = \frac{2\omega^2(1 - 2\alpha\beta - \beta^2)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)}.$$

- Substituting this results in the ACF definition we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(h) &= \frac{\text{Cov}(\epsilon_t^2, \epsilon_{t-h}^2)}{\text{Var}(\epsilon_t^2)} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha\omega^2(1 - \alpha\beta - \beta^2)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)} (\alpha + \beta)^{h-1} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha\omega^2(1 - \alpha\beta - \beta^2)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta)^2(1 - 3\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

- Simplifying, it leads to

$$\rho(h) = \frac{\alpha(1 - \alpha\beta - \beta^2)}{1 - 2\alpha\beta - \beta^2} (\alpha + \beta)^{h-1}.$$

- Classical *GARCH* model the conditional variance as a linear function of the squared past innovations.
- The merits of this specification are its ability to reproduce several important characteristics of financial time series.
- From an empirical point of view, however, *GARCH* models suffer an important drawback. By construction, the conditional variance only depends on the modulus of the past variables: past positive and negative innovations have the same effect on the current volatility.
- This property is in contradiction to many empirical studies on series of stocks, showing a negative correlation between the squared current innovation and the past innovations.
- Conditional asymmetry is a stylized fact: the volatility increase due to a price decrease is generally stronger than that resulting from a price increase of the same magnitude.
- In order to take into account for those considerations some extensions of *GARCH* model have been considered in literature.

- Consider the model

$$r_t = \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$\epsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \text{ i.i.d. } (0, \sigma_t^2),$$

$$\log(\sigma_t^2) = \omega + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g(\epsilon_{t-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \log(\sigma_{t-i}^2),$$

where

$$g(\epsilon_{t-i}) = \theta \epsilon_{t-i} + \gamma (|\epsilon_{t-i}| - \mathbb{E}(|\epsilon_{t-i}|)).$$

- To better understand the meaning of the function  $g(\cdot)$  we can write it as

$$g(\epsilon_t) = \begin{cases} (\theta + \gamma)\epsilon_t - \gamma\mathbb{E}(|\epsilon_t|) & \epsilon_t \geq 0 \\ (\theta - \gamma)\epsilon_t - \gamma\mathbb{E}(|\epsilon_t|) & \epsilon_t < 0. \end{cases}$$

- The meaning is that for positive  $\epsilon_t$ ,  $g(\epsilon_t)$  is a linear function of  $\epsilon_t$  with angular coefficient  $\theta + \gamma$  while for negative  $\epsilon_t$ , it is a linear function of  $\epsilon_t$  with angular coefficient  $\theta - \gamma$ .
- In this way, the model reacts in an asymmetric way to positive and negative news.
- Moreover, it is worth noting that with the EGARCH specification we do not need to impose any restrictions on the parameters to constraint the variance to be positive. In fact the exponential of any number is always positive. when  $\theta = 0$  the reaction of  $\log(\sigma_t^2)$  to a variation of  $\epsilon_t$  is symmetric.

- The following model is called Treshold GARCH. Let

$$r_t = \epsilon_t$$

where

$$\epsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \text{ i.i.d.}(0, \sigma_t^2)$$

and

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \epsilon_{t-i}^2 + \gamma_i \epsilon_{t-i}^2 I_{(-\infty, 0)}(\epsilon_{t-i}) \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \log(\sigma_{t-j}^2).$$

where  $I_A(x)$  is the indicator function of the set  $A$  and the parameters are non negative.

- The model is asymmetric since when  $\epsilon_{t-i}$  is positive it contributes with  $\alpha_i$  to the volatility while when it is negative it contributes with  $\alpha_i + \gamma_i$  which has a larger impact.
- The model use zero as threshold to separate the impacts of past shocks.
- Other threshold values can also be used.